Errata for "Building Efficient Query Engines in a High-Level Language" (PVLDB 7(10):853-864)

Yannis Klonatos¹, Christoph Koch¹, Tiark Rompf^{1,2}, and Hassan Chafi²

¹ École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) {firstname}.{lastname}@epfl.ch ² Oracle Labs {firstname}.{lastname}@oracle.com

This is in response to recent feedback from our peers that calls for a number of clarifications regarding the experimental section of our paper.

Table 1 clarifies which optimizations are used in each evaluated flavor of LegoBase. *HyPer-simulated is a configuration of the LegoBase codebase* that mirrors the HyPer system as closely as possible by just activating some of the main optimizations and deactivating others: using the push engine, with operator inlining active but data structure optimizations and data layout transformations turned off. In addition, as noted in our paper (footnote 8), the actual HyPer system uses query plans generated by its own query optimizer while HyPer-simulated uses query plans from DBX.

We use TPC-H queries and generated data *at scaling factor 8* to evaluate the impact of our compilation techniques.

For all evaluated systems, reported query evaluation times *only include the execution time of the query* and exclude the time taken for query optimization/compilation and loading the data into the main-memory data structures. We note that the data structure specialization optimizations of Section 3 of our paper (whenever used) are a *gentle form of pre-computation*, as would arguably be loading row data from disk into a main-memory column store.

System	Data Struct. Opt. (Sect. 3.2)	Change Data Layout (Sect. 3.3)	Operator Inlining (Sect. 2.1)	Push Engine Opt. (Sect. 3.1.1)
Volcano-Style (LLVM)	×	×	×	×
Volcano-Style (GCC)	×	×	×	×
HyPer-simulated	×	×	\checkmark	\checkmark
LegoBase (Scala)	√ √	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
LegoBase (C)	√	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

Table 1: Optimizations used in each evaluated system.

Since the current prototype of LegoBase only supports the generation of single-threaded code, *all systems are forced into singlethreaded execution*.

The HyPer version used in the camera ready version of our paper is v0.4-226, which was provided to us by the HyPer developers in March 2014. This version was run single-threaded by disabling all CPU cores but one in the configuration of the Linux kernel. Table 2 also presents performance results from the latest HyPer version available (v0.4-452) as of July 2014. We note that for some

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/. Obtain permission prior to any use beyond those covered by the license. Contact copyright holder by emailing info@vldb.org. Articles from this volume were invited to present their results at the 40th International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, September 1st - 5th 2014, Hangzhou, China. *Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment*, Vol. 7, No. 13

Copyright 2014 VLDB Endowment 2150-8097/14/02.

queries (e.g., Q2, Q5, Q9, and Q16), there is a significant improvement from the old to the new HyPer binary. We observed that the HyPer query optimizer changed between the two binaries, and that the new version generates more efficient query plans. In addition, the developers of HyPer informed us of a command line parameter that allows HyPer to run single-threaded (PARALLEL=off), which was not used in the results of the camera ready version of our paper (as described above). By specifying this parameter, we observed that the performance of the new binary was slightly improved.

For completeness, Table 2 presents the original *absolute performance results* for all evaluated systems in the paper (which only presents relative numbers in the form of speedups), plus the results for HyPer v0.4-452 (with the setting PARALLEL=off) run in the same experimental environment.

The sentence "LegoBase [...] can get an additional $5.3 \times$ speedup, for a total average $7.7 \times$ performance improvement" in the 4th paragraph of Section 4.1 should be read as follows: a) Lego-Base performs $7.7 \times$ better than DBX on average across all TPC-H queries and b) LegoBase achieves a $5.3 \times$ additional speedup compared to the speedup that HyPer achieves over DBX (the latter being $2.44 \times$). LegoBase achieves an average speedup of $3.8 \times$ over HyPer (v0.4-226) across the TPC-H queries.

Sustam	
System	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6 Q7
DBX	1790 396 1528 960 19879 882 969
Volcano-Style (LLVN	I) 1366 2865 2850 3639 210553 653 9735
Volcano-Style (GCC)	1700 3175 3417 3416 228936 578 9245
HyPer (v0.4-226)	861 227 1229 811 2542 302 860
HyPer (v0.4-452)	779 43 892 622 338 198 798
HyPer-simulated	540 244 1058 1018 40987 287 724
LegoBase (Scala)	202 140 323 460 3592 180 413
LegoBase (C)	168 108 195 283 2220 100 209
System	Q8 Q9 Q10 Q11 Q12 Q13 Q14
DBX	2172 3346 985 461 881 13593 823
Volcano-Style (LLVM) 24752 38729 4598 823 3288 24161 784
Volcano-Style (GCC)	24511 36658 4280 701 3665 25159 628
HyPer (v0.4-226)	518 2747 943 184 782 5101 260
HyPer (v0.4-452)	493 2139 565 102 485 2333 197
HyPer-simulated	1581 4102 967 210 1026 4623 252
LegoBase (Scala)	760 1303 1012 218 649 1748 389
LegoBase (C)	462 447 488 105 281 604 188
System	Q15 Q16 Q17 Q18 Q19 Q20 Q21 Q2
DBX	578 12793 1224 4535 6432 744 1977 44
Volcano-Style (LLVM)	928 18354 2196 11363 5655 5293 19368 145
Volcano-Style (GCC)	787 16463 2768 12978 6107 5251 22253 165
HyPer (v0.4-226)	415 1678 693 2935 1630 658 1809 42
HyPer (v0.4-452)	229 590 490 3682 1421 277 1321 21
HyPer-simulated	255 2906 635 1052 952 709 2019 52
LegoBase (Scala)	426 4601 270 1152 2862 1710 3759 82
LegoBase (C)	134 1326 75 245 371 495 669 19

Table 2: Absolute performance results (in milliseconds).