## Very Large Data Bases VLDB Endowment

Volume 7, No. 9 – May 2014 **Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, Hangzhou, China** 

> Program Chairs and Editors-in-Chief: H. V. Jagadish, Aoying Zhou

Associate Editors – Research and Innovative Systems Tracks: Shivnath Babu, Lei Chen, Graham Cormode, Bin Cui, Wynne Hsu, Martin Kersten, Donald Kossman, Elke Rundensteiner, Kyuseok Shim, Wang-Chiew Tan, Letizia Tanca, Jeffrey Yu

> Associate Editors – Experiments and Analysis Track: Gao Cong, Jens Dittrich

> > Associate Editors – Vision Track: Zachary Ives

> > > Proceedings Chairs: Li Xiong, Cong Yu

PVLDB – Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment

Volume 7, No. 9, May 2014.

The 40th International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, Hangzhou, China.

# **Copyright 2014 VLDB Endowment**

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/</u>. Obtain permission prior to any use beyond those covered by the license. Contact copyright holder by emailing info@vldb.org.

## Volume 7, Number 9, May 2014: VLDB 2014 Pages ii - x and 661 - 784 ISSN 2150-8097

Additional copies only online at: portal.acm.org, arxiv.org/corr, and www.vldb.org

| Front Matter   |                 |
|--|-----------------|
| Copyright Notice<br>Table of Contents<br>VLDB 2014 Organization and Review Board   | ii<br>iii<br>iv |
| Letters  |                 |
| What to Expect from a Revision   | ix              |
| Research Papers  |                 |
| PRESS: A Novel Framework of Trajectory Compression in Road Networks  | 661             |
| Finding the Cost-Optimal Path with Time Constraint over Time-Dependent Graphs  | 673             |
| Optimal Crowd-Powered Rating and Filtering Algorithms<br>Aditya Parameswaran, Stephen Boyd, Hector Garcia-Molina,<br>Ashish Gupta, Neoklis Polyzotis, Jennifer Widom | 685             |
| Incremental Record Linkage   | 697             |
| Low-Latency Handshake Join   | 709             |
| Path Problems in Temporal Graphs<br>   | 721             |
| Retrieving Regions of Interest for User Exploration  | 733             |
| SK-LSH: An Efficient Index Structure for Approximate Nearest Neighbor Search   | 745             |
| On Arbitrage-free Pricing for General Data Queries   | 757             |
| Splitter: Mining Fine-Grained Sequential Patterns in Semantic Trajectories   | 769             |
| Towards Building Wind Tunnels for Data Center Design   | 781             |

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### **VLDB 2014 ORGANIZATION AND REVIEW BOARD**

#### **Honorary Chair**

Yunhe Pan, Chinese Academy of Engineering

#### **General Chairs**

Chun Chen, Zhejiang University Sharad Mehrotra, University of California, Irvine

#### **Program Chairs and Editors-in-Chief of PVLDB 7**

H. V. Jagadish, University of Michigan Aoying Zhou, East Normal University, China

#### **Research and Innovative Systems Tracks Associate Editors**

Shivnath Babu, Duke University Lei Chen, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology Graham Cormode, University of Warwick Bin Cui, Peking University, China Wynne Hsu, NUS Martin Kersten, CWI Donald Kossman, ETH Elke Rundensteiner, WPI Kyuseok Shim, Seoul National University Wang-Chiew Tan, University of California, Santa Cruz Letizia Tanca, Poli Milano Jeffrey Yu, Chinese University of Hong Kong

#### **Experiments and Analysis Track Associate Editors**

Gao Cong, Nanyang Technology University Jens Dittrich, Saarland

#### Visions Track Associate Editor

Zachary Ives, University of Pennsylvania

#### **Industrial and Applications Track Associate Editors**

Umeshwar Dayal, HP C. Mohan, IBM Ge Yu, Northeastern University, China

#### **Demonstration Chairs**

Mong-Li Lee, NUS Feifei Li, University of Utah Sunil Prabhakar, Purdue

#### **Tutorial Chairs**

Xiaoyong Du, Renmin University Murat Kantarcioglu, University of Texas, Dallas Divesh Srivastava, AT&T Labs

#### **Research Track Review Board**

Sibel Adali, Rensselear Polytechnic Institute Foto Afrati, NTU Athens Yanif Ahmad, JHU Jose Luis Ambite, ISI - USC Walid Aref, Purdue University Claudia Bauzer Medeiros, University of Campinas Srikanta Bedathur, IIIT Delhi Michael Benedikt, Oxford University Sonia Bergamaschi, Universita Modena Laure Berti-Equille, IRD, France Leopoldo Bertossi, Carleton University, Ottawa Subhash Bhalla, University of Aizu, Japan Peter Boncz, CWI Angela Bonifati, University of Lille 1 Rajesh Bordawekar, IBM Watson Research Center Omar Boucelma, Aix-Marseille University Nico Bruno, Microsoft Research Andrea Cali, University of London, Birkbeck College Malu Castellanos, HP Labs Badrish Chandramouli, Microsoft Research Adriane Chapman, Mitre Gang Chen, Zhejiang University Yi Chen, New Jersey Institute of Technology James Cheng, CUHK Reynold Cheng, University of Hong Kong Brian Cooper, Google, USA

**Workshop Chairs** Anastasia Ailamaki, EPFL Kaushik Chakrabarti, Microsoft

#### **Panel Chairs**

Hakan Hacigumus, NEC Labs Jignesh Patel, University of Wisconsin Xiaoyang Sean Wang, Fudan University

Philippe Cudré-Mauroux, University of Fribourg Carlo Curino, MIT Gautam Das, UT Arlington and QCRI Sudipto Das, Microsoft Research Anish Das Sarma Atish Das Sarma, eBay Research Labs Khuzaima Daudjee, University of Waterloo Antonios Deligiannakis, Technical University of Crete Daniel Deutch, Ben Gurion University Yanlei Diao, University of Massachusetts Amherst Xin (Luna) Dong, Google, USA Sameh Elnikety, Microsoft Research Mohamed Eltabakh, Worcester Polytechnic Institute Ihab F. Ilyas, QCRI Hakan Ferhatosmanoglu, Bilkent University Ada Wai-Chee Fu, Chinese University of Hong Kong Minos Garofalakis, Technical University of Crete Wolfgang Gatterbauer, Carnegie Mellon University Tingjian Ge, University of Massachussets, Lowell Bugra Gedik, Bilkent University Rainer Gemulla, Max-Plack-Institut Saarbr點ken Gabriel Ghinita, University of Massachusetts Boston Parke Godfrey, York University Lukasz Golab, University of Waterloo Sergio Greco, University of Calabria Le Gruenwald, University of Oklahoma

Giovanna Guerrini, Universita Genova Krishna Gummadi, MPI-SWS Rahul Gupta, Google Research Rajeev Gupta, IBM Research Shvam Gupta, IIT Delhi Marios Hadjielefhteriou, AT&T labs Wook-Shin Han, KNU, Korea Kuno Harumi, HP Labs Bingsheng He, NTU Singapore Sven Helmer, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano Jan Hidders, TUDelft Wei Hong, Cisco System Inc. Katja Hose, Aalborg University Zi Huang, University of Queensland Jeong-Hyon Hwang, SUNY - Albany Seung-won Hwang, POSTECH, Korea Stratos Idreos, CWI Yoshiharu Ishikawa, Nagoya University Zachary Ives, University of Pennsylvania Ricardo Jimenez-Peris, Technical University of Madrid Cheqing Jin, East China Normal University Ruoming Jin, Kent State University Alekh Jindal, Saarland University/MIT Ryan Johnson, University of Toronto Dmitri V Kalashnikov, UC Irvine Panos Kalnis, KAUST, Saudi Arabia Ben Kao, Hong Kong University Panagiotis Karras, Rutgers University Yiping Ke, Institute of High Performance Computing Bettina Kemme, McGill University Daniel Kifer, PSU Benny Kimelfeld, IBM Hideaki Kimura, Microsoft Jim Gray Systems Lab George Kollios, Boston University Christian König, Microsoft Research Tim Kraska, Brown University Laks V. S. Lakshmanan, University of British Columbia Mounia Lalmas, Yahoo Inc.

Mong-Li Lee, National University of Singapore Wolfgang Lehner, Technische University Dresden Justin Levandoski, Microsoft Research Chengkai Li, The University of Texas at Arlington Cuiping Li, Renmin University of China Feifei Li, University of Utah Guoliang Li, Tsinghua University Jianzhong Li, Harbin Institute of Technology Yunyao Li, IBM Almaden Zhanhuai Li, Northwestern Polytechnical University Dan Lin, Missouri S&T, USA Xuemin Lin, University of New South Wales Bin Liu, NEC Labs America Ziyang Liu, NEC Labs America Eric Lo, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University Qiong Luo, HKUST Shuai Ma, Beihang University Ashwin Machanavajjhala, Duke University Brad Malin, Duke University Nikos Mamoulis, University of Hong Kong Stefan Manegold, CWI Murali Mani, University of Michigan Ioana Manolescu, INRIA, France Amélie Marian, Rutgers University Volker Markl, TU Berlin Marta Mattoso, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro Frank McSherry, Microsoft Alexandra Meliou, Umass Amherst Marco Mesiti, University of Milano Dan Miranker, The University of Texas at Austin Mohamed Mokbel, University of Minnesota Bongki Moon, Seoul National University Yasuhiko Morimoto, Hiroshima University Mirella Moro, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais Kyriakos Mouratidis, SMU, Singapore Karin Murthy, IBM India Arnab Nandi, Ohio State University Wolfgang Nejdl, University of Hannover

Thomas Neumann, Technology University Munchen Boris Novikov, St Petersburg University Dan Olteanu, Oxford University Gultekin Ozsoyoglu, Case Western Reserve University Tamer Ozsu, University of Waterloo Esther Pacitti, University of Montpellier Themis Palpanas, University of Trento Ippokratis Pandis, IBM Almaden Stelios Paparizos, Microsoft Research Aditya Parameswaran, Stanford University Srinivasan Parthasarathy, The Ohio State University Jignesh Patel, University of Wisconsin Andrew Pavlo, Brown University Peter Pietzuch, Imperial College London Neoklis Polyzotis, University of California - Santa Cruz Cecilia M. Procopiuc, AT&T Labs Li Qian, University of Michigan Jorge Quiané-Ruiz, OCRI Elisa Quintarelli, Politecnico di Milano Maya Ramanath, IIT Delhi Louiga Raschid, University of Maryland Vibhar Rastogi, Yahoo Matthias Renz, University of Munich Kenneth Ross, Columbia University Sourav S Bhowmick, NTU, Singapore Dimitris Sacharidis, IMIS Athena, Greece Kenneth Salem, Univesity of Waterloo Maria Sapino, University of Torino Kai-Uwe Sattler, TU Ilmenau Monica Scannapieco, ISTAT Bernhard Seeger, University of Marburg Lidan Shou, Zhejiang University Adam Silberstein, Trifacta Lisa Singh, Georgetown University Radu Sion, Stony Brook University Yufei Tao, Chinese University of Hong Kong

Nesime Tatbul, ETH Zurich Arash Termehchy, Oregon State University Evimaria Terzi, University of Boston Martin Theobald, Max Planck Institute, Germany Srikanta Tirthapura, Iowa State University Riccardo Torlone, Roma Tre University Anthony Tung, National University of Singapore Kostas Tzoumas, Technical University of Berlin Sergei Vassilvitskii, Google Research Marcos Vaz Salles, University of Copenhagen (DIKU) Stratis Viglas, University of Edinburgh Hoang Tam Vo, National University of Singapore Daisy Zhe Wang, University of Florida Haixun Wang, Microsoft Research Asia Ke Wang, Simon Fraser University Wei Wang, University of New South Wales Xiaoling Wang, East China Normal University Ingmar Weber, Yahoo Raymond Chi Wing Wong, HKUST Sai Wu, Zhejiang University Yuging Wu, Indiana University Xiaokui Xiao, NTU Dong Xin, Google Jianliang Xu, Hong Kong Baptist University Jun (Jim) Xu, Georgia Institute of Technology Xifeng Yan, University of Santa Barbara Xiaoyan Yang, Advanced Digital Science Center Ke Yi, HKUST Ge Yu, Northeastern University, China Hwanjo Yu, POSTECH, Korea Meihui Zhang, National University of Singapore Wenjie Zhang, The University of New South Wales Ying Zhang, The University of New South Wales Zhenjie Zhang, Advanced Digital Science Center Wenzhao Zhou, Georgetown University Xiaofang Zhou, University of Queensland

**PhD Workshop Chairs** Erich Neuhold, University of Vienna Yunyao Li, IBM

**Sponsorship Chairs** Mike Carey, University of California, Irvine Lizhu Zhou, Tsinghua University

Local Organization Chair Lidan Shou, Zhejiang University

**Web Management Chair** Sai Wu, Zhejiang University

**Conference and Registration Chairs** Ke Chen, Zhejiang University Cuiping Li, Renmin University

**Publicity Chairs** Vasilis Vassalos, AUEB, Greece Dunlu Peng, USST, China **Proceedings Chairs** Li Xiong, Emory University Cong Yu, Google Research

**Treasury Chair** Li (Eric) Qian, University of Michigan

**VLDB Endowment Liaison** Kyu-Young Whang, KAIST

**PVLDB Managing Editor** Divesh Srivastava, AT&T Labs

**PVLDB Information Director** Gerald Weber, University of Auckland

#### **PVLDB Advisory Committee**

Philip Bernstein, Michael Böhlen, Peter Buneman, Susan Davidson, Z. Meral Ozsoyoglu, S. Sudarshan, Gerhard Weikum

#### Logo Design

Guanmin Guo

#### WHAT TO EXPECT FROM A REVISION

What does the possibility of revision do to the conference review process? This is a serious question, for which we do not yet have community consensus. Let me state here the principles according to which we are trying to operate in PVLDB, with the full recognition that all evaluations are subjective, and therefore suffer from some variance, in spite of our efforts at having a common shared set of metrics.

As a (very loose) lower bound, a revision process improves upon author feedback used in so many conferences. Author feedback does indeed provide a channel to correct egregious reviewing errors. However, authors are incentivized to rebut every criticism, no matter how reasonable, because they have already submitted something, and acknowledging deficiencies simply increases the likelihood of rejection. In turn, reviewers take authors' rebuttals with a big grain of salt. In consequence, author feedback has little influence on most paper decisions, and I have heard many complaints about this process not fulfilling its goals from dissatisfied authors who worked hard to create a rebuttal and then felt that it was not adequately considered by the reviewers.

In contrast, with a revision process, authors can choose to accept criticism and revise their manuscript to address it. Therefore, they attempt to rebut only a few chosen criticisms that they prefer not to address for some reason. The result is both better dialog with referees, and an improved manuscript.

I think everyone agrees up to this point in my argument. Divergence begins after this. The fundamental question is what should be the criterion for a revision request. There are some reviewers who feel this should be driven by the size of the revision request. My view, and one that I have attempted to follow this volume, is that the size of the revision, and the time that it may take, is immaterial. A revision request is appropriate if the deficiency, whether in assumption, in definition, in proof, in experiment, or in presentation, is fixable in a predictable way. (Sometimes, there may be 2 or 3 alternative ways). On the other hand, a revision request is not appropriate if the deficiency is one of insufficient novelty or insufficient technical depth. In short, we want to see papers that are really novel and really deep and really push the envelope in new ways: if the execution is less than perfect, we will help you with a critique and opportunity to fix.

I have made the statement from the previous paragraph in many forums. And an unfortunate consequence is that this has raised author hopes up unreasonably. So let me caution authors that the additional flexibility offered by the revision process requires that the submitted paper be that much more exciting to the reviewers. If reviewers rate a submission WR, it may be true that after revision they would see a manuscript they would rate WA. But that is not enough. I want at least some reviewers to feel truly excited about the manuscript. I want them to be rating it the equivalent of an A or SA after revision.

When the revision is submitted, reviewers get the manuscript again for review. They have access to the original manuscript and the original reviews. They are discouraged from finding new flaws with the manuscript after revision. So the central question is whether the authors adequately addressed the shortcomings raised in the first round. (Presumably the reviewers agreed that if these were well-addressed, the paper would be a good one to accept). Reviewers are forced to rate the manuscript as an accept or a reject after revision. Nothing would give me greater pleasure than to report that we had a 100% acceptance rate after revision. Unfortunately, that is far from being the case. Occasionally, this is because the revision request is about justifying some crucial assumption that the authors genuinely are not able to address. More often, this is because the authors did not take the revision request seriously enough, and submitted a revision that the referees felt addressed their concerns partially, but not enough to accept the paper.

In summary, the revision process can be a boon to authors, and is potentially a great mechanism for avoiding erroneous rejections. However, it comes with higher expectations, and authors should

remember that their burden after revision is not just to meet a minimum bar but rather to generate enthusiasm.

H. V. Jagadish, University of Michigan, USA Founding Editor-in-Chief, PVLDB